IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA NO. 2775 of 2005
Date of decision. January 29,2007

J. B. Gupta .....Petitioner

Versus

H.U.D.A and another .....Respondents

CORAM **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL**

PRESENT Mr. Pankaj Jain,Advocate
appellant.
Mr. Rajeev Godara, Advocate
for respondent .

VINEY MITTAL , J(Oral)

The plaintiff is the appellant before this Court.

A suit for declaration filed by him challenging the order dated August 26, 1997 passed by Estate Officer, H. U. D. A, Faridabad was decreed by the trial Court. However, on an appeal filed by the defendants, the Appellate Court has held that jurisdiction of the Civil Court was barred in view of Section 15(2) of Haryana Urban Development Authority Act.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties at some length, I do not find that the aforesaid observations made by the Appellate Court suffer from any infirmity or can be held to be contrary to law.

No question of law, much less, any substantial question of law arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

January 29, 2007 **(VINEY MITTAL)**
mamta **JUDGE**